PATENT

First Named Inventor: Charles B. Schnarel

Attorney Docket No.: 117045.04

Application No.: 09/383.038

Group Art Unit: 2174 Confirmation Number: 6021

Filed: August 25, 1999 Customer No.: 22971

Graphical User Interface for a Screen Telephone

Title: Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Examiner: Steven Paul Sax

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 47-79, 81-87, and 91 in the subject

application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's

Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's

Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the

effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective

claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying

that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates

the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of

specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner

regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the

the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the Page 1 of 2

Microsoft Corporation Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

MS: 117045.04

same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,

 Date:
 April 17, 2007
 By:
 /Stephen Siu/

 Microsoft Corporation
 Stephen Siu, Reg. No. 48,303

 One Microsoft Way
 Attorney for Applicants

Redmond WA 98052-6399 Direct telephone (425) 704-0669

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

April 17, 2007	/ Noemi Tovar /
Date	Noemi Tovar